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Apomixis, asexual reproduction by seeds, is a strategy in plants to produce seeds which is genetically identical with the maternal progeny. By apomixis process, it is possible to select high quality of plants and produce seeds by large scale cloning, genotypic fixation, and to conserve heterosis characters, vigor, and high yields (Koltunow 2008).

Apomixis has a potential to revolute agriculture, if the genetic base can be determined well. Up to now, apomixis genetic control is still unclear because of the complexity of Mendelian Genetics, such as epistasis gene interaction, expressed component sporophytically and gametophytically, expression modifiers, polyploidy, aneuploidy, segregation distortion, the presence of recombination stress etc. which were accumulated during apomixis evolution.

Apomictic plant identification can be done by using some methods, namely phenotypic method, sitoembryology characterisation, genetic markers, biological examination, and their combinations to determine gene loci which control apomixis in some plant species. Those methods can be used to classify progeny deviation produced by plant crossing asexually and sexually to determine reproduction patterns of each plant. The use of these methods including sitology of embryo pouch and progeny analysis will depict characters and apomictic gene introgression use into the sexual plant species which is useful for the modern agricultural systems. The
modern molecular genetic can be used as one of the ways to identify genes and physiological mechanisms or biochemistry which control certain cell regulation changes which cause the apomixis (Darrigues et al., 2008).

Within the different conditions, apomictic plants of the genus *Taraxacum* has genetic variation within the levels of ploidy and aneuploidy (Richards 1996). It also occurs in some species of *Poaceae* (Acuña et al. 2005). The newest molecular study on the mangosteen, apomixis has also showed the genetic variations (Ramage et al. 2004, Yapwattanaphun et al. 2004, Sinaga et al. 2006, Sobir & Poerwanto 2007, Sari et al. 2008 in press). On the other hand, the genetic variability information between the progenitors and the progeny in the apomictic mangosteens is still unknown.

The information of the genetic variability between the progenitors and the progeny suggests the phenomena of character heritability from the progenitors to the progeny. By this information, we can understand whether segregation occurred in the progeny or not, or whether the genetic patterns of the progenitors is similar to those in the progeny. The failure of the separation of spindel in chromosomes, somatic recombination caused by transposone activities and the presence of mutation was regarded as the cause of genetic variation (Richards 1996). Analysis of apomixis heredity of the progenitors to the progeny can be done by molecular markers. The use of molecular technique in the apomictic genetic study is expected to increase the understanding of the sporophytic and gametophytic apomixis plants.

The aim of this research is to know the genetic variability and genetic pattern consistency of the progenitors and progeny in the mangosteens from four locations in Tasikmalaya using E-RAPD markers. The genetic variability studied will explain whether the variability is caused by the interprogenitors and progeny or accession within location or between locations. The genetic consistency between progenitors and progeny is detected by seeing and comparing the DNA band patterns.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Samples of mangosteens were collected from four locations in Tasikmalaya Regency. E-RAPD analysis was conducted in the Molecular Laboratory of Life Science Study Center and Plant Biology, The Research Center for Biological Resources and Biotechnology IPB Bogor and the Laboratory of Study Center for Tropical Fruit IPB Bogor. This research was conducted from June 2006 to August 2007. Twelve accessions of mangosteens including one maternal progenitor with three progenies representing mangosteen populations growing in four districts in Tasikmalaya, namely: Puspahiang, Salawu, Tanjung Jaya, and Sukaraja. Sampling was collected with purposive random sampling method i.e. trunk with ± 100 cm diameter and 50 years age, with 10 plants each per location.

E-RAPD technique following William et al. (1990), with some modifications by Tanaka & Taniguchi (2002). Six random primers “Enhanced” used were E1 (5'-GACGCCACACT-3'), E2 (5'-GACGCCACCG-3'), E3 (5'-GACGCCACACA-3'), E4 (5'-GACGCCACACC-3'), E1E2 and E1E4 (combination). The DNA band amplified was electrophoreted together with standard DNA 1 KB DNA ladder (promega) on agarose gel 1.2% in buffer solution TBE 1X. Electrophoresis was run for 150 minutes on 60 volt at room temperature. The bands amplified were documented with gel and recorded in the computer.

**Data Analysis E-RAPD Technique**

The bands of E-RAPD were translated as binary data (1 if presence and 0 if absence). These data were used to construct matrix of genetic similarity based on the formula Nei & Li (1979) with UPGMA (Unweighted Pair-Group Method Aritmetic) method by using NTSys (Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate System) versi 2.02 (Rolf 1998).

The presence of bands was translated as the binary data. Each band represents one character and scored based on the presence and absence of bands. The polymorphic bands are bands which do not belong to the other mangosteen individual on the same size. The binary data resulted were used to arrange genetic similarity matrix based on formula of Nei & Li (1979). Cluster analysis was done based on those genetic similarity followed by production of the dendrogram using UPGMA (Unweighted Pair-Group Method Aritmetic) with the program NTSys (Numerical Taxonomy ang Multivariate System) version 2.02.

**RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

**Result**

**E-RAPD Data Analysis**

All random primers could amplify all DNA accessions (Table 1). The number of bands/samples/
primers varies between 1–11 bands with average 9 bands DNA per sample. Primers E1 and E2 had resulted in the least (7 bands), while the most bands (11 bands) were resulted by primers E1E4. The size of bands amplified by primer E-RAPD ranges from 200–1100 pb. All primers result in high polymorphism (100%). The different numbers and size of bands defining levels of genetic variability of mangosteen accession and their close relatives.

Figure 1 suggest variation patterns of DNA bands between progenitors and progeny namely the loss of progenitor DNA band in certain progenies and additional new DNA bands without loss of progenitor DNA. The range of band size produced by E-RAPD analysis was relatively shorter, less, and more dense band compared with those produced by normal RAPD primers. The number of bands produced by each primer depends on the site distribution which were homologous with the primer sequence in the genome.

**Similarity and cluster analyses**

Genetic relationship between Salawu3 progenitor with 3 progenies (Salawu2-P1, Salawu2-P2, and Salawu2-P3) can be determined from the genetic similarity matrices based on presence and absence of DNA bands using six random primers. The highest genetic similarity score between progeny Salawu2-P1 and Salawu2-P2 is 97.7%, while the lowest one between Salawu2-P1 and progeny Salawu2-P3 is 86%. Based on cluster analysis (Figure 2) Salawu2 progenitor accession and their three progenies were united on the genetic similarity 89% or 11% diversity between progeny and progenitor accession Salawu2. The cluster between progeny Salawu2 united at the similarity level of 7%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Salawu2 was 0.857 or with the goodness of fit (Table 2). It means that there was compatibility between matrices produced by the dendrogram.

**Table 1. The number of bands amplified by seven primers with E-RAPD technique for progenitors and progeny.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Band size (pb)</th>
<th>Monomorphic band</th>
<th>Polymorphic band</th>
<th>Band number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E3</td>
<td>200–625</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1E2</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1E4</td>
<td>200–1100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2E4</td>
<td>200–750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63 (100%)</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Profile of DNA bands between progenitors and progeny with E-RAPD markers primer E1 and E1E4. Notes: 25 = T8 Progeny1, 26 = T8 Progeny3, 27 = T8 Progeny2, T8 = Tanjung jaya 8 mother, 31 = SR8 Progeny1, 32 = SR8 Progeny2, 33 = SR8 Progeny3, R8 = Sukaraja 8 mother, 4 = S7 Progeny1, 5 = S7 Progeny2, 6 = S7 Progeny3, S7 = Salawu 7 mother, 13 = P3 Progeny1, 14 = P3 Progeny2, 15 = P3 Progeny3, P3 = Puspahi ang 3 Mother, a = Additional bands, b and c = band deletion.
Similarity score between accession Salawu7 progenitor and progeny was 86% or there was diversity in between progenitor and progeny accession of 14%. The accession with the highest similarity was Salawu7-P2 with Salawu7-P3 (95%) or there were diversity between progeny of 5%. Clustering patterns shown by accession Salawu2 and Salawu7 were the same, where the diversity score between progenitors and progeny was more than diversity score among progeny. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Salawu7 was 0.812 or with goodness of fit.

On the other hand, the accession Salawu8, the progenitor accession formed cluster with similarity level 100% with progeny Salawu8-P1, while the other two progenies united in the level of 96% similarity. Generally, accession Salawu8 formed a cluster at the similarity 95% or there were diversity between accession of 5%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Salawu8 was 0.927 or with very suitable goodness of fit. Three Salawu trees (Salawu2, Salawu7, Salawu8) of progenitor and progeny formed a cluster at a similarity of 70% or there were diversity within Salawu population of

Table 2. Character score and 2 of the first main component score based on E-RAPD markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession (population)</th>
<th>Character score</th>
<th>Propriostion</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>MC1</th>
<th>MC2</th>
<th>r (Cophenetic correlation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salawu2</td>
<td>2.120</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>0.606</td>
<td>E2-1</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.531</td>
<td>0.857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salawu7</td>
<td>2.113</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>E2-5</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>-0.352</td>
<td>0.812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salawu8</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.890</td>
<td>E1E4-10</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puspahiang2</td>
<td>1.531</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>0.593</td>
<td>E1E4-1</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>-0.171</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puspahiang3</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>E1E4-8</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>-0.544</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puspahiang7</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>E1-11</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanjungjaya2</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>E2-8</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.454</td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanjungjaya7</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.755</td>
<td>E2-9</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.454</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanjungjaya8</td>
<td>1.558</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>E2-1</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.543</td>
<td>0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukaraja6</td>
<td>4.560</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>E2-5</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukaraja8</td>
<td>4.497</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>0.650</td>
<td>E2E4-1</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukaraja9</td>
<td>1.321</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td>E2E4-5</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salawu</td>
<td>2.763</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>E2-2</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>-0.326</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salawu</td>
<td>2.112</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>E3-12</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>-0.326</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puspahiang</td>
<td>4.976</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>E3-3</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.247</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanjungjaya</td>
<td>6.436</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>E2-13</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>-0.244</td>
<td>0.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukaraja</td>
<td>2.956</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.729</td>
<td>E3-1</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sukaraja</td>
<td>2.366</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>E2E4-1</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tasikmalaya</td>
<td>3.177</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>E1-5</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: MC1 and MC2 were the main component score 1 and 2.
30%. Cophenetic correlation between Salawu was 0.976 or with suitable goodness of fit.

Accession Puspahiang2 connected at the similarity level of 91% or there were 9% diversity among Puspahiang2. The highest similarity score was presence between Puspa2-P2 and Puspa2-P3 (100%), and the lowest score was in Puspa2-P1 (91%), while the progenitor was located in between those three progenies with similarity score 93.5%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Puspahiang2 was 0.946 or with the suitable goodness of fit. Accession Puspahiang3 formed a cluster at similarity score of 78% or 22% diversity between progenitor and progeny. This diversity score was the highest compared to those three accessions of the other Puspahiang. While the diversity score between progeny was 98%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Puspahiang3 was 0.993 or with very suitable goodness of fit. Accession Puspahiang7 connected at similarity level 86%, with similarity score was shown by Puspahiang7-Progenitor and Puspahiang7-progeny1 of 97.5%. The range of diversity in Puspahiang7 was 2.5–16% diversity. Phenetic correlation score between accession Puspahiang2 was 0.941 or with very suitable goodness of fit. Similarity score of three accession of Puspahiang population was 90% or with diversity within population of Puspahiang either in progenitor or progeny 40%. Phenetic correlation score between accession of Puspahiang populations was 0.968 or with very suitable goodness of fit.

Accession Tanjungjaya2 formed a cluster at similarity score 90%, at this point the progenitor accession was separated from those three progenies. It means that there were variations between progenitor and progeny of 10%. The highest similarity score was present in Tanjungjaya2-P1 and Tanjungjaya2-P2 93.8%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Tanjungjaya2 was 0.897 or with suitable goodness of fit. Accession Tanjungjaya7 formed a cluster at a similarity score of 75%. This score was the point at which Tanjungjaya7-P3 was separated between progenitor and the other progeny. The highest similarity score was given by Tanjungjaya7-I and Tanjungjaya7-P1 95.2%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Tanjungjaya2 of 0.981 or with suitable goodness of fit. In Tanjungjaya8, a cluster was formed at a similarity score of 90% which was the similarity score between progenitor and three progenies, or with diversity between progenitor and progenies of 10%. The highest similarity score was given by Tanjungjaya8-P1 and Tanjungjaya8-P2, while similarity score among progenies was 93.3%, or with diversity within progenies 6.66%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession Tanjungjaya8 was 0.843 or with suitable goodness of fit. Three accessions of Tanjungjaya population formed a cluster at a similarity level of 53% or with diversity within populations of 47%. Cophenetic correlation score between accession of Tanjungjaya population was 0.972 or with highly goodness of fit.

Accession Sukaraja6 formed a cluster at similarity level of 71.4% or with diversity 29.6% within accession Sukaraja6. The highest similarity score was present at Sukaraja6-I and Sukaraja6-P1, while Sukaraja6-P2 and Sukaraja6-P3 had similarity score 80%. Cophenetic correlation score among accession Sukaraja6-P3 was 0.809 or with suitable goodness of fit. Accession Sukaraja8 formed a cluster at similarity score 73% which was the point of separation between progenitor and three progenies. It means that there were diversity among progenies and progenitor of 27%. The highest similarity score was given by Sukaraja8-P2 and Sukaraja8-P3 90.4%, while the similarity score between Sukaraja8-P1 and the other progenies was 90.1%.

Cophenetic correlation score between accession Sukaraja8 of 0.928 or with highly goodness of fit. Accession Sukaraja9 formed a cluster at similarity level of 77.5% or with diversity between accession 22.5% with the highest similarity score was given by Sukaraja9-P2 and Sukaraja9-P3 86.6%, while the progenitor accession was located in between three progenies with similarity score of 82.9%. Cophenetic correlation score among the accession of Sukaraja9 was 0.926 or with very suitable goodness of fit. Three accessions of Sukaraja formed a cluster at a similarity level 64% or with diversity within Sukaraja population of 36%. Cophenetic correlation score among the accession of Sukaraja was 0.772 or with less suitable goodness of fit.

The results of genetic similarity analysis showed that the average genetic similarity within population of four populations of Tasikmalayan mangosteens, the highest was Salawu (70%), followed by Sukaraja (64%), Puspahiang (60%) and Tanjungjaya (53%). In general, the clusters formed by 48 progenitors and progenies accession were originated from four Tasikmalayan populations i.e. Salawu, Puspahiang, Tanjungjaya and Sukaraja. They formed at 47% similarity score or at diversity among population was 53%, which was the point of separation of population from Puspahiang with the other three populations. The highest diversity within
population was 40% (Figure 2e), where all of the other accessions of each population gathered in a cluster of population and separated from the other populations. However, there was an accession, namely Tanjungjaya8, which was included in accession Salawu with a similarity score of 71%. In the population, this Tanjungjaya8 was separated at 47%. It was estimated that the seedling of this tree
was originated from Salawu, so that the similarity score was closer in Salawu than the original population. The populations with the closest genetic relationship were Salawu and Tanjungjaya with similarity genetic score of 55.5%, while Sukaraja population was located between Salawu and Tanjungjaya population with similarity score of 53%. Cophenetic correlation among 48 Tasikmalaya accessions was 0.877 or with suitable goodness of fit.

Discussion

The majority of the primers employed in mapping of component 1 vs 2 was primer E2 with 11 bands, followed by E2E4 (8 bands), E3 (6 bands), E1E4 (5 bands), E1E2 (4 bands) and E4 2 (bands) (Table 2). The extraction of eigenvalue 70% or more which play a role in accession mapping in the main component of each accession only given by 2 characters, except Sukaraja population (4 characters) and Tasikmalaya 48 accession (7 characters) (Table 2).

Based on E-RAPD markers, analysis of the main component has mapped all progenies clustered together with their progenitor, and the mangosteen accession had also clustered based on the area of origin except Tanjungjaya8 accession which belonged to Salawu8.

Apomixis Analysis of Mangosteens

Based on criteria that progeny of the obligate apomixis plants have identical genetic patterns which identical with the progenitors, therefore a model can be made to determine whether the mangosteens apomictic or not. If one of the loci of E-RAPD of the progenitor and progeny have different allele, it will not be apomictic. If both progenitor and progeny are the same the apomictic character cannot be defined. The apomictic character of mangosteens cannot be define because the similarity of genetic patterns of progenitor and progeny which may be caused by self fertilization or that the mangosteen is truly apomictic plant.

Table 3. A Model of the DNA band pattern variation among 12 progenitor trees with 3 progenies and their proportion with E-RAPD markers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Salawu 1</th>
<th>Salawu 2</th>
<th>Salawu 3</th>
<th>Puspahiang 1</th>
<th>Puspahiang 2</th>
<th>Puspahiang 3</th>
<th>Tanjungjiaya 1</th>
<th>Tanjungjiaya 2</th>
<th>Tanjungjiaya 3</th>
<th>Sukaraja 1</th>
<th>Sukaraja 2</th>
<th>Sukaraja 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E-1</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>98.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-2</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-3</td>
<td>89.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-4</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1E2</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>93.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1E4</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2E4</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>83.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>92.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1 = equals progenitors, 2 = additional new bands, 3 = lost bands

There were three patterns of band variation in the progeny analysis of Tasikmalayan mango trees based on E-RAPD markers: (1) Bands of progeny equals progenitor; (2) New band emergence; and (3) Loss of bands in progeny. The genetic consistency resulted in the progeny analysis was relatively high with an average of 91.69% (Table 3), shown by the presence of similar bands between progenitor and progeny. The occurrence of band deletion had given the highest proportion on the genetic variability between progenitor and progeny of Tasikmalayan mangoes with an average of 4.77%. While the addition of new bands with an average of 3.54%. Genetic variability proportion showed by band differences raising on each population 5.36–14.05%. The Sukaraja population showed the highest variability (14.05%), followed by Puspahiang (7.6%), Tanjungjiaya (6.25%) and Salawu (5.36%). Genetic variability proportion found in this research was lower than those of the mango progeny analysis in West Sumatera (41.3%) (Mansyah et al. 2004). This might be caused by the use of E-RAPD primers with relatively longer bases, so the result suggest more specific than the common RAPD primers.

Based on patterns variation, the band patterns of progeny was not identical as those in progenitor, which should occur in the obligate apomictic plants. Based on the model above, the analyzed mango trees were not the obligate apomictic plants. It was assumed that DNA band pattern variation occurred due to the process of fertilization in flowers, although the evidence still needed. Persson-Hovmalm et al. (2004) reported that Aronia tetraploid population (as apomictic plants) with the use of RAPD markers, the profile between female progenitor and their descendants were identical. On the other hand, Ford & Richards (1995), reported that there were genetic variability between progenitor and progeny in three obligate apomictic plants Taraxacum. King & Schaal (1990) reported that in Taraxacum officinale there were genotypic variations among progeny. The rate of new genotype emergence in T. officinale was higher than the expected random mutation. Richards (1997) stated that the obligate agamosperma may result in genetic variability by somatic recombination or by autosegregation. The genetic variability was needed by apomictic plants as the basis for adaptation and evolution process (King & Schaal 1990).

Variability of obligate apomictic according to Richards (1996 and 1997), Sobir & Poerwanto (2007) could be caused by: 1) accumulation of DNA mutation change; 2) disjunction failure due to polyploidy, haploidy, oligosomy, and polisomy among the descendants; 3) chromosome translocation causing somatic recombination due to activity of transposon, and 4) chromosome mutation in the maternal genome which control apomixis. In mangoes, genetic variation could be caused by some phenomena such as natural mutation due to domestication since thousands years ago (Ramage et al. 2004), the ploidy failure or progenitor crossing many times (Sobir & Poerwanto 2007).

Reproduction mechanism in the mangoes was included as adventive embryony (Naumova 1993). This reproduction has started since their ancestors hybridized many times followed by somatic diploidy process in the avular tissues and apomictic sporophyte, then forming adventive embryo and
embryo somatic cells (nuselus or integument) (Spillane et al. 2001). Those mechanism may have caused genetic variation between progenitor and progeny on mangoes. According to Koltunow et al. (1995) and (Darrigues et al. 2008), generally, the apomictic plants were controlled by one dominant gene locus playing a role in explaining apomictic paths.

Genetic diversity in Salawu population (5–14%), Pusopahar (9–25%), Tanjungjaya (10–25%), and the highest was Sukaraja (22.5–29.6%), while among population ranged from 31.2–47%. The progeny was not identically similar to the progenitor. The average genetic consistency in four locations of mangosteen was 91.69%, consistency score 100% reached in Salawu8 progeny-1, and Pusopahar progeny-2 and progeny-3, while the other accession had similarity from 71.4–98%. The mangosteen regarded as plants descended from the diplospory gametophytic apomictic pathway. The best primer playing roles in clustering were E2 with 11 bands, followed by E2E4 (8 bands), E3 (6 bands), E1E4 (5 bands), E1E2 (4 bands) and E4 2 (bands). RAPD can be used for apomictic analysis between progenitor and progeny of different mangosteenes.
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