

© 2017 Belitung Nursing Journal

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

ISSN: 2477-4073

EFFECTIVENESS OF BREASTFEEDING AND NON-NUTRITIVE SUCKING ON PAIN RELIEF IN INFANT IMMUNIZATION

Etri Probowati^{1*}, Ariawan Soejoenoes¹, Sri Wahyuni M², Donny Kristanto Mulyantoro¹, Melyana Nurul Widyawati¹, Diyah Fatmasari¹

¹Magister Applied Midwifery, Health Ministry Polytechnic Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

²Prodi DIII Kebidanan, Health Ministry Polytechnic Semarang, Semarang, Indonesia

*Correspondence:

Etri Probowati, S.ST., M.Tr.Keb

Magister Applied Midwifery, Health Ministry Polytechnic Semarang

Jl. Tirta Agung, Pedalangan, Banyumanik, Kota Semarang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia (50268).

E-mail: etriprobowati@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Immunization in infants is an action that can cause trauma due to injection of the immunization that can cause pain. Breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking are considered to be non-pharmacologic strategy of pain management.

Objective: This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking on pain relief in infant immunization

Methods: This was a quasy experimental study with posttest only control group. This study was conducted on 26 October till 30 November 2016 at hree Community Health Centers (Puskesmas), namely Puskesmas Cilacap Utara I, Puskesmas Cilacap Tengah, and Puskesmas Cilacap Selatan I. The population was infants aged 2-4 months who got immunization of DPT-HB-Hib 1. Samples were recruited using consecutive sampling technique. There were 69 samples in this study, which were divided into three groups. 1) The group was given a breastfeeding intervention (23 respondents), 2) The second group was given a non Nutritive sucking intervention (23 respondents), and 3) The control group (23 respondents). Data were analyzed using ANOVA.

Results: The pain response of the three groups was groups was 2.74 in breastfeeding group, 1.87 in non-nutritive sucking group, and 3.26 in control group. There was a significant difference between non-nutritive sucking and control group with *p-value* = 0,000, and also the significant difference between breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking with *p-value* = 0.016. However, there was no difference between *breastfeeding* and control group with *p value* = 0.142.

Conclusion: Breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking were effective in reducing pain during infant immunization. It is suggested that midwives could administer these interventions to reduce pain in infant immunization, and it could be applied as non-pharmacological strategy in pain management in the Community Health Center in Indonesia.

Key words: breastfeeding, non-nutritive sucking, pain, immunization

INTRODUCTION

Childhood is still very vulnerable to diseases,¹ especially infectious diseases due to immune system that has not been formed and functioning optimally. Children who always get sick can affect their growth and development. Thus, it is necessary to expand the effort to the prevention of disease. One of the efforts made by the Government of Indonesia is the mandatory declaration of basic immunization in the first year of life of children.²

The programs of immunization in children (0-12 months) in the development program of immunization (PPI) in Indonesia include: Hepatitis B immunization (one time), BCG (one time), DPT-HB-Hib (three times), Polio / IPV (four times), and Measles (one time).² Most of the basic immunizations are hepatitis B, BCG, DPT-HB-Hib, and measles are carried out by the method of injecting the vaccine into the body either by way of intracutaneous, subcutaneous, or intra-muscular.³ It means that in the first year of the children life get approximately 6 injections, which cause pain.³

Pain in infants that are not immediately handled will cause adverse effects, such as increased heart rhythm, blood pressure, rapid and shallow respiration, decreased oxygen saturation (SaO₂), pale skin and redness, diaphoresis, sweaty palms, increased muscle tone, dilated pupils, decreased vagal nerve tone, and increased pressure of intracranial.⁴ This is in line with previous study that showed an excessive crying in babies, increased heart rate, blood pressure and oxygen saturation during and after heel lance.⁵

Pain management during infant immunization is still a major concern for health professionals. This is due to several things, including the baby's inability to convey pain, reluctance to use analgesics

because of side effects, and error interpretation on pain expressions in infants.⁶ In response to this, non-pharmacological management of pain is one of the solutions, which is a very important act and can be done independently without waiting for instructions from physician.⁷ In addition, non-pharmacological treatment in the handling of the pain is a safety act, non-invasive, and inexpensive.⁶

One technique that can be done is breastfeeding. According to the theory of psychosexual development, the baby in the age of 0-1 years is in the oral phase, which getting satisfaction through stimuli centered on the mouth.⁸ Thus, pain reduction strategy by using the technique of breastfeeding before, during and after the immunization is a method that can be applied into practice. Additionally, this technique can increase the relationship between parents and baby.⁴

Alternatively, the other intervention that can be applied to reduce pain during immunization is to use a non-Nutritive sucking (NNS). It is to give a baby pacifier into the mouth of neonates to stimulate suction mechanism without giving breast milk or other nutritions.⁹ NNS stimulates orotactil and mechanoreceptors to produce an analgesic effect on neonatus.¹⁰

Based on the preliminary study with three parents in the Health Center (Puskesmas) in Cilacap, Central Java Indonesia about their responses about immunization indicated that, all of them (100%) said that immunization was important for babies because it could maintain the health, while two parents (67%) thought sometimes felt afraid to take their children for immunization because they did not bear to see children crying during injection of immunization, and all of them did not know about anything about pain management during immunization. On the other hand, until

today, there is no yet intervention from the health center regarding pain management during immunization.

Therefore, with this problem and the solutions based on literatures above, this study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of breastfeeding and non nutritive sucking on pain relief during infant immunization.

METHODS

Design

A quasy experimental study with posttest only control group. The dependent variable in this study was pain relief in infant immunization, while the independent variables were breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking.

Setting

This study was conducted on 26 October till 30 November 2016 at three Community Health Centers (Puskesmas), namely Puskesmas Cilacap Utara I, Puskesmas Cilacap Tengah, and Puskesmas Cilacap Selatan I.

Research subject

The study population was infants aged 2-4 months who got immunization of DPT-HB-Hib 1. Samples were recruited using consecutive sampling technique that every patient who met the study criteria were included in the sample until a certain time until the required sample size was met. There were 69 samples in this study, which were divided into three groups: 1) The group was given a breastfeeding intervention (23 respondents), 2) The second group was given a non nutritive sucking intervention (23 respondents), and 3) The control group (23 respondents). The inclusion criteria of sample for this study were: infants aged 2-4 months who received DPT-Hib-Hb 1, had no contraindications to immunization, came to the Health Center escorted by their

mothers, and were still exclusively breastfed.

Intervention

The first group was given a breastfeeding intervention by the way of mothers breastfed their babies at the time of 2 minutes before, 5 minutes during, and 3 minutes after immunization. Breastfeeding intervention was conducted in Puskesmas Cilacap Selatan 1. While the second group was given a non nutritive sucking intervention by giving a baby pacifier to the baby's mouth at 2 minutes before, 5 minutes during, and 3 minutes after immunization. This intervention was conducted in Puskesmas Cilacap Utara I; and the third group (a control group) was just getting an intervention of holding the baby at the time of 2 minutes before, 5 minutes during, and 3 minutes after immunization. This control group was in Puskesmas Cilacap Tengah.

Immunization actions were carried out by midwives who had experiences of conducting immunization for at least one year, with the aim that each respondent got the standard immunization procedure in accordance with the needs of the respondents. The measurement of pain response in all three groups was performed directly by the researcher.

Ethical Consideration

Ethical Consideration was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of the Health Ministry Polytechnic Semarang (POLTEKKES SEMARANG) with No. 038/KEPK/ polytechnic-SMG/EC/2017. Patients who met the study criteria were then given an informed consent, including the explanation of the research objectives and procedures, and asking the willingness of parents / guardians of infants to be involved in the research by signing a written consent form.

Instruments

The FLACC (Face, Leg, Activity, Cry, and Consolability) scale was used to measure pain in this study, adopted from the previous study,^{11,12} which had been validated and translated into Indonesian version.^{13,14} This scale consists of five

ratings with a total score of 0 for no pain and 10 for severe pain. The result of the behavior score is 0 for no pain, 1-3 mild pain / discomfort mild, 4-6 moderate pain, and 7-10 severe pain / discomfort severe. (see Table 1)

Table 1. FLACC (Face, Leg, Activity, Cry, and Consolability) scale

Criteria	Score 0	Score 1	Score 2
<i>Facial expression</i>	No expression of special or smiling	Sometimes grimace or frown, withdrawn	Often frowned constantly, clenched jaw chin quivering
<i>Leg</i>	normal position or relax	edgy, nervous, tense	kicking or pulling the leg
<i>Activity</i>	lay quietly, normal position, moves easily	squirming, back and forth moving, tense	curved , stiff, or kept jerking
<i>Crying</i>	no cry	whimpering or whining, sometimes complain	crying constantly, screaming or sobbing
<i>Consolability</i>	happy, relaxed	tranquilized with the occasional touch, hug or speak, can be transferred	difficult to be entertained or difficult to comfortably

Data Analysis

The normality test had been performed and the data was in normal distribution. Data were analyzed using ANOVA to see the differences of pain responses in three groups in this study.

RESULTS

The characteristics of the respondents were described in terms of age and gender.

Table 2. Age distribution of the respondents (N= 69)

Group	N	Mean	Median	SD	Min-Max
Breastfeeding	23	2.48	2.00	0.79	2.00-4.00
NNS	23	2.78	3.00	0.79	2.00-4.00
Control	23	3.09	3.00	0.67	2.00-4.00

Table 3. Gender distribution of the respondents

Gender	Group	Total	Percentage
Male	Breastfeeding	9	39.1%
	NNS	11	47.8%
	Control	14	60.9%
Female	Breastfeeding	14	60.9%
	NNS	12	52.2%
	Control	9	39.1%
Total		69	100%

Table 2 showed that the average age of breastfeeding group was 2.48 months with standard deviation 0.79, while the average age of NNS group was 2.78 months and the control group's average age was 3.09 months. There was no much difference age between three groups.

As shown in table 3, in the breastfeeding group, the number of

females (60.9%) was higher than the number of males (39.1%); while the ratio between males (47.8%) and females (52.2%) in the NNS group was almost similar; and the male-female ratio in control group showed that the females (39.1%) was higher than males (60.9%).

Table 4. Pain response distribution before, during, and after immunization in the groups of breastfeeding, NNS, and control group

Group variables		N	Mean	SD	<i>p-value</i>
Before	Breastfeeding	23	0.04	0.21	0.069
	NNS	23	0.61	1.16	
	Control	23	0.61	1.12	
During	Breastfeeding	23	3.43	1.62	0.000
	NNS	23	3.04	1.40	
	Control	23	5.04	1.33	
After	Breastfeeding	23	0.69	1.40	0.020
	NNS	23	1.37	1.37	
	Control	23	1.04	1.04	

The analysis of pain response in 2 minutes before immunization showed that the mean of pain responses in breastfeeding group was 0.04, NNS group was 0.61, and control group was 0.61; and p-value was 0.069, indicated that there was no difference in pain response between the three groups.

In 5 minutes during immunization, the mean of pain responses in breastfeeding group was 3.43, NNS group

was 3.04, and control group was 5.04. P-value was 0.000, indicated that there was significant differences between the three groups.

While in 3 minutes after immunization, the mean of pain responses in breastfeeding group was 0.70, NNS group was 1.17, and control group was 1.78. P-value was 0.020, indicated that there was significant differences between the three groups.

Table 5. Pain response distribution during and after immunization in the groups of Breastfeeding, Non Nutritive Sucking dan Control

Variable	N	Median (min – max)	Mean ± SD	<i>p-value</i>
Breastfeeding	23	0.00 – 5.00	2.74 ± 1.29	0.001
NNS	23	0.00 – 4.00	1.87 ± 1.22	
Control	23	1.00 – 5.00	3.26 ± 1.05	

Table 6. Post Hoc test result in the groups of *Breastfeeding, Non Nutritive Sucking* and control

Group	Group	Mean	<i>p-value</i>
Breastfeeding	NNS	0.869	0.016
	Control	- 0.521	0.142
NNS	Breastfeeding	- 0.869	0.016
	Control	- 1.391	0.000
Control	Breastfeeding	0.521	0.142
	NNS	1.391	0.000

Table 6 showed that there was a significant difference between non-nutritive sucking and control group with *p-value* = 0.000, and also the significant difference between breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking with *p-value* = 0.016. However there was no difference between *breastfeeding* and control group with *p value* = 0.142.

DISCUSSION

During immunization, especially in the injection part, babies will feel pain. Thus, the role of midwives to deal with pain management is needed. In this study, two interventions had been implemented, namely breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking. The findings showed that there were significant results on reducing pain during infant immunization. However, the maternal carrying intervention in the control group also had a positive effect on pain relief in infants.

Many benefits are actually obtained during breastfeeding, such as the body contact between the baby and the mother that makes the babies feel comfortable and protected. In addition, breastfeeding also affects the response to pain because of sweet taste that can induce endogenous opioids.¹⁵ The sweet taste in breast milk has an influence on pain response. Additionally, this mechanism occurs because of the sweet solution contained in breast milk. In this case, the lactose can induce the endogenous opioid analgesic pathways that cause no pain transmission to the brain, so the perception and sensation of pain are not felt by the baby during the injection.¹⁵ This is consistent

with the previous study indicated that pain during taking blood action can be reduced by breastfeeding before, during, and after the action.¹⁶

On the other hand, during the intervention of non-nutritive sucking, there is orosensory encouragement that has an effect on pain response in infants.⁴ Baby aged 0-12 months is in the oral phase of development, which all the fun is centered in their mouths. So by the time a baby is given a non Nutritive sucking (NNS), pain during immunization will be distracted and focused on oral activity.⁴ This is corroborated by the results of previous studies that significantly decrease the occurrence of pain in a group of non Nutritive sucking (B = -11 , 27, p value <0.001) and group administration of the sugar solution (sucrose) (B = -11.75, p value <0.001).¹⁷

In this study, the control group was given an intervention as just carrying a baby with therapeutic touch. Hugs were given at the time of holding will give skin contact between mother and baby that will stimulate the body to release the hormone oxytocin (a hormone associated with feelings of peace also love), so it will affect on the psychological than the baby itself.¹⁸

On the other hand, environment situation such as bright light and loud noises can also stimuli the baby.¹⁹ Thus, reducing environmental stimuli can calm the baby and indirectly reduce pain. This is supported by previous study, which indicated that skin-to-skin contact can reduce pain during the time of injection.¹⁹ However, the combination of the use of

25% dextrose per oral and skin-to-skin contact is more effective to reduce pain.²⁰

CONCLUSION

Breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking were effective in reducing pain during infant immunization. There was a significant difference between non-nutritive sucking group and control group (p-value 0.000), and breastfeeding group with non-nutritive sucking group (p-value 0.016). However, there was no significant difference between breastfeeding group and control group (p-value 0.142). It might be because of the position of breastfeeding is similar with the position of maternal carrying in control group. Therefore, further studies are needed to compare the difference between breastfeeding and maternal carrying, and environmental factors should be more investigated. However, it is suggested that midwives could administer these interventions to reduce pain during infant immunization, and it might be applied as non-pharmacological based pain management in the community health center.

REFERENCES

1. WHO. Children's Environmental Health: Environmental Risks. 2017; <http://www.who.int/ceh/risks/en/>. Accessed 3 January, 2017.
2. Hadinegoro SR. Panduan Imunisasi Anak: Mencegah Lebih Baik Daripada Mengobati: Jakarta: Ikatan Dokter Anak Indonesia; 2011.
3. Penyakit DJP, Lingkungan P, Jawab P, et al. BUKU AJAR IMUNISASI. 2014.
4. Hockenberry MJ, Wong DL. *Wong's clinical manual of pediatric nursing*: Mosby Elsevier Health Science; 2003.
5. Phillips RM, Chantry CJ, Gallagher MP. Analgesic effects of breastfeeding or pacifier use with maternal holding in term infants. *Ambulatory Pediatrics*. 2005;5(6):359-364.
6. Hockenberry MJ, Wilson D. *Wong's nursing care of infants and children*: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
7. Kashaninia Z, Sajedi F, Rahgozar M, Noghabi FA. The effect of kangaroo care on behavioral responses to pain of an intramuscular injection in neonates. *Journal for Specialists in Pediatric Nursing*. 2008;13(4):275-280.
8. Freud S, Bonaparte PM. *The origins of psychoanalysis*. Vol 216: Imago London; 1954.
9. McGrath JM. *Developmental care of newborns & infants: A guide for health professionals*: NANN; 2010.
10. Gibbins S, Stevens B. Mechanisms of sucrose and non-nutritive sucking in procedural pain management in infants. *Pain Research and Management*. 2001;6(1):21-28.
11. Mizrahi T, Sultan S, Charest M-C, et al. Training nurses in basic hypno-analgesia techniques to reduce procedural distress and pain in children: A feasibility trial. *Cogent Medicine*. 2016;3(1):1165083.
12. Voepel-Lewis T, Shayevitz JR, Malviya S. The FLACC: a behavioral scale for scoring postoperative pain in young children. *Pediatr Nurs*. 1997;23:293-297.
13. Yudiyanta NK, Novitasari RW. Assessment Nyeri. *Jurnal CDK*. 2015;226.
14. Sabur RP, Risan NA, Chairulfatah A. Korelasi Skala FLACC dengan Kadar Alfa Amilase Saliva pada Anak Palsi Serebral. *Sari Pediatri*. 2016;18(2):142-145.
15. Prasetyono D. Buku Pintar ASI Eksklusif, Pengenalan Praktek dan

- Kemanfaatannya: Jogjakarta: Diva Press; 2009.
16. Gray L, Miller LW, Philipp BL, Blass EM. Breastfeeding is analgesic in healthy newborns. *Pediatrics*. 2002;109(4):590-593.
 17. Liaw J-J, Zeng W-P, Yang L, Yuh Y-S, Yin T, Yang M-H. Nonnutritive sucking and oral sucrose relieve neonatal pain during intramuscular injection of hepatitis vaccine. *Journal of pain and symptom management*. 2011;42(6):918-930.
 18. Palmer LF. Oxytocin-a Bonding Hormone 2002.
 19. Badr LK, Abdallah B, Hawari M, et al. Determinants of premature infant pain responses to heel sticks. *Pediatric nursing*. 2010;36(3):129.
 20. Chermont AG, Falcão LFM, de Souza Silva EHL, Balda RdCX, Guinsburg R. Skin-to-skin contact and/or oral 25% dextrose for procedural pain relief for term newborn infants. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(6):e1101-e1107.

Cite this article as: Probowati W, Soejoenoes A, MWS, Mulyantoro DK, Widyawati MN, Fatmasari D. Effectiveness of Breastfeeding and Non-Nutritive Sucking on Pain Relief in Infant Immunization. *Belitung Nursing Journal* 2017;3(2):102-109.