

1477548053_IJECE_Boundary.pdf

By: IWayan Mustika

As of: Oct 31, 2016 2:19:11 AM
4,237 words - 42 matches - 34 sources

Similarity Index

13%

Mode: Similarity Report

paper text:

International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE) Vol.x, No.x,
September 201x, pp.

21

xx~xx ISSN: 2088-8708 ? 31 CNR and BER Ranges for the DVB-T2 Reception-Success Budi Setiyanto*, Risanuri Hidayat*,

I Wayan Mustika*, and Sunarno** * **Department of Electrical Engineering and**
Information Technology

24

** Department of Engineering Physics and Nuclear Engineering

Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia Article Info
ABSTRACT

28

Article history:

DVB-T2 (Digital Video Broadcasting Terrestrial Second Generation)

30

Received Jun 12th, 201x reception requires a sufficient quality of the received signal. CNR Revised Aug 20th, 201x (carrier-to-noise ratio) and BER (bit-error-rate) are two of quantities Accepted Aug 26th, 201x describing the quality. This paper presents the range of each quantity providing a successful reception based on real data obtained by field-measurements. This data was collected from MO (mobile-outdoor) Keyword: and SI (stationary-indoor) receiving-systems capturing signal sent by DVB-T2 some on-air trial transmitters broadcasting services focused on the Signal-Quality fixed-receivers. The result indicated that the successful and failed Bit-Error-Rate receptions were split into two

quite separated (concentrated) ranges Carrier-to-Noise-Ratio of post-decoded BER and therefore a boundary distinguishing them Reception-Success could be prominently defined. In contrast, they were spread in a wide common range of CNR and pre-decoded BER. Furthermore, the boundary that corresponded to this last quantity was ambiguous. In the case of MO reception as numerical examples, the two split ranges of post-decoded BER were less than about 10^{-5} and more than about 2.7×10^{-4} for the successful and failed receptions, respectively, whereas CNR as high as about 14 dB could be viewed as a soft boundary distinguishing these both reception-success conditions.

Copyright © 201x

Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science. All rights reserved. Corresponding Author:

9

Budi Setiyanto, **Department of Electrical Engineering** and Information Technology, **Faculty of Engineering,** Universitas **Gadjah Mada Jln. Grafika**

2 Kampus UGM, Sleman, **Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia. Email:** budi_setiyanto

25

@ugm.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION In DVB-T2 system [1], [2], a receiver successfully receives when the quality of the captured signal is sufficient. Improving the reception-quality can be carried-out by several schemes, such as by selecting some suitable transmit parameters [3] or implementing a MISO (multiple-input single-output) technique [4] at the transmitting-side, deploying a SFN (single-frequency-network) [5], [6] or OCR (on-channel repeater) [7], [8] in the network segment, or employing a mutiple-antenna (MA) method [9], [10] at the receiving-end. In the method of MA receiving-system, several antennas simultaneously capture the incoming radio frequency (RF) signal. Combining signals from all antennas builds a final-signal fed to the next stage in the receiver-end [11], [12], where the combining-action is controlled (adjusted) by a quantity sufficiently correlated to the signal quality (receiver output). Spectrum-flatness [13], [14], MER (modulation-error-ratio) [14], [15], SNR (signal-to-noise ratio) [16], CNR [17], [18], and BER [17]–[20] are several quantities describing such quality.

Journal homepage: <http://iaesjournal.com/online/index.php/IJECE>

27

A number of previous works [21], [22] showed the probabilistic relationships of reception-success to the CNR and BER. However, those works did not determine the ranges of those quantities giving a successful or failed reception. On the other hand, identifying those ranges is necessary to, for example, implement a MA technique. Therefore, this paper presents an investigation on those ranges. The

remainder of this paper is organized as follows. After review of

15

DVB-T2

in Section 2, Section 3 and 4 explain the experiment method

33

and result, respectively. Finally, Section 5 presents the conclusion. 2. DVB-T2 2.1. System Overview In contrast to analog broadcasting technology where one frequency channel, as wide as 8 MHz for example, conveys only one TV program, DVB-T2 is capable of carrying several programs in an equal bandwidth. At the DVB-T2 transmitter-side, several programs can be packaged into a physical-layer-pipe (PLP). Even, in a multi-PLP system, several PLPs are packaged further into a baseband (BB)-frame format. Finally, this format is transmitted using OFDM modulation, where a stream (sequence) of bits or symbols to be sent is split into several sub-streams, each of which modulates a dedicated sub-carrier frequency, different from the frequency of other sub-carriers. At every symbol duration, all sub-carriers are orthogonal to each other [23]. Bit processing in each PLP consists of FEC (forward error control) encoding, interleaving, and QAM (quadrature amplitude modulation) constellation-mapping. Several options provided in this stage are the QAM order, interleaving depth, and FEC coding rate. Several options are also available in the OFDM stage, involving fast Fourier transform

(FFT) size (mode), guard interval (GI) ratio, and pilot pattern. FECs used are

15

BCH (Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem) and LDPC. Front stage in the receiving-end is a tuner (RF-unit) converting the incoming signal to BB-OFDM. A FFT processor at the next stage demodulates this signal. Symbol processing including QAM-decoding, de-interleaving, and FEC decoding carrying-out after the desired PLP is extracted produces the original bit-stream. This bit-stream is decoded to recover the video and audio signals. These signals are finally manifested to the corresponding picture and sound outputs, respectively. 2.2. Signal Quality Ordered from the earliest stage to the latest one at the receiver, CNR, MER, and BER are three of quantities describing the signal quality, respectively. When AWGN (additive white Gaussian noise) is the only impairment presents, then relationships among these three quantities are consistent, where the higher the first two or the lower the last one, the better the signal. On the other hand, when a multipath fading exists, such consistence is probably violated, as informed by a previous work result [14]. A receiver is capable of estimating (computing) those quantities, by which the method of estimation applied affects its accuracy. As an illustration, re-encoding the decoder output gives an estimated delayed version of its pre-decoded bit-stream, and comparing these both streams gives the estimated pre-decoded BER. CNR, which originally should be measured at the RF (radio frequency) or modulated stage, can be estimated from the SNR at the

BB stage, based on the scattering constellation diagram [24].

3. RESEARCH METHOD

3.1. Equipment

Equipment involved in this research is illustrated in Figure 1 (a). It mainly consisted of an antenna and a consumer-type digital television (DTV) receiver (Rx). In addition, MO reception required an antenna booster and a video camera. This DTV produces moving picture only when its reception is successful. It also provides an additional option of displaying several system information items at the foreground, where the background is the picture itself. Some of these items are CNR and BER, by which $CNR = 0$ or $BER = 1$ indicated an occurrence of no signal detected or erroneous measurement. CNR, pre-LDPC-decoding BER (further notated as BERA), post-LDPC-decoding BER (further notated as BERB) were three quantities, as well as the reception-success, investigated in this research.

3.2. SI Reception

Figure 1 (b) illustrates the SI reception experiment including the field-measurement and visual- observation works. Antenna was placed quite close to the receiver. In a receiver location, antenna position could be systematically shifted into x, y, and z direction-components with their corresponding shifting-steps at 20 cm, 20 cm, and 10 cm, respectively. The number of steps including an initial position (as reference) in these direction-components included three, three, and five, respectively. Therefore, there were as many as $3 \times 3 \times 5 = 45$ possible antenna positions in a location receiver. At each position, antenna could also be horizontally rotated for pointing it to any azimuth (orientation) angle (ϕ), where it was set to ten degrees per IJECE ISSN: 2088-8708 ? 33 rotation step to give as many as $360/10 = 36$ orientation-angles. By defining every position-orientation as a spatial-sample, $45 \times 36 = 1620$ samples were available. At each sample, the DTV display was quite stationary, and therefore the data could be directly extracted and tabulated from the display. The TV channel observed was number 27 (518 – 526 MHz, 8K- mode FFT, 1/32 GI ratio, 16-QAM). (a) (c) (d) (b) (e) Figure 1. The illustration of the research method: (a) equipment, (b) SI procedure, (c) MO field-measurement, (d) MO procedure, and (e) MO off-line processing of each video file.

3.3. MO Reception

The MO reception experiment is illustrated in Figure 1 (c), (d), and (e). Figure 1 (c) describes the field-measurement work. In this work, the equipment was installed on a car (automobile). The boosted antenna was mounted at the outside top-roof, whereas the receiver was the in-cabin unit. Notation “v (km/h)” informs that the car travelled several route-segments while the receiving-system was tuned to receive a TV channel. The DTV display varied during the measurement, and therefore a video camera was required to record it. Number 47 (678 – 686 MHz, 8K-mode FFT, 1/32 GI ratio, 64-QAM) was the observed TV channel. Off-line processing shown in Figure 1 (d) intended to identify the reception-success and extract the required quantities (CNR and BER) from each video-file. Figure 1 (e) shows the detail of this process. Firstly, a T-second duration video-file was converted into a series of T image-files. Such conversion is equivalent to sampling the video-file with 1 Hz sampling-rate or one frame per second. Secondly, visual-observation work CNR and BER Ranges for the DVB-T2 Reception-Success (Budi Setiyanto) on each image-file extracted those three items and decided whether the reception was successful or failed. Finally, the data was tabulated. Figure 2. The diagram of the statistical analysis.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Diagram in Figure 2 illustrates the flow of the statistical analysis. All samples were organized into two groups: one containing all of the successful-reception samples, and another one containing all failed- reception ones. In each group, they were sorted by referring to the CNR and BER, respectively, where samples that had $CNR = 0$ or $BER = 1$ were unusable and therefore eliminated. Having been sorted, they were arranged in an ascending order from $k = 1$ for the lowest CNR (BER) to $k =$

K for the highest one, where K1, K2, and K3 were the values of K that corresponded to the CNR, BERA, and BERB, respectively. The number of the usable samples after the sorting process was notated as U(i, j),

i = 0, 1, j = 1, 2, 3. For each **k, the** number of **the** successful **and** 3

failed receptions samples were s(1, j, k) and s(0, j, k), respectively, and the sum was V(j, k). The aggregate number of the usable samples according to its sorting-quantity was S(j). Therefore, $\sum_{k=1}^K U(i, j) = \sum_{k=1}^K s(i, j, k)$

(i, j, k); i = 0, 1; j = 1, 2, 3 3

(1) $k = 1 \dots K$

j, k) = s(1, j, k) + s(34

0,

j, k); j = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2, 3, ..., K (2) $\sum_{k=1}^K S(j) =$ 13

$U(1, j) + U(0, j) = \sum_{k=1}^K V(j, k)$

(j,k); j = 1, 2, 3 (3) **k = 1 The** 26

signed probability density function (pdf) was estimated by using $f(i, j, k) = (-1)^{i+1} sU(i, (j, k))$;

i = 0, 1; j = 1, 2, 3; k = 1, 2, 3, ..., K 3

(4) In equation (4), the result that belonged to the failed-reception was signed by -1 (multiplied by $(-1)^{i+1}$) to inform that such reception was a condition opposite to that of the successful one. The successful-group stated that the portion (probability) of successful-reception for each k was as high as f(1, j, k), whereas the failed-group stated that the portion of failed-reception was as high as f(0, j, k). Factually, there was no successful-sample in the failed-group, and

vice versa, and therefore it could not be concluded whether the reception given by this k was either successful or failed. On the other hand, the investigation conducted in this experiment examined every value of k and decided whether it was potentially successful or failed. Therefore, an alternative way-out was formulated as follows. Adding

$$f(0, j, k) \text{ to its } f(1, j, k) \tag{10}$$

counterpart gave the net signed pdf, which could be viewed as a statistical estimation of the portion of the successful-reception for a k. A negative result indicated that the reception was expectably failed with the portion as high as the magnitude of this result. Therefore, the net signed pdf was

$$F(j, k) = f(1, j, k) + f(0, j, k); \quad j = 1, 2, 3; \quad k \tag{13}$$

= 1, 2, 3, ..., K j (5) An additional function computing the cumulative of the net signed pdf was defined as IJECE ISSN: 2088-8708 ? 35 $l \leq K_j$ $G(j, l) = \sum F$

$$(j, k); \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (6) \quad k = 1 \tag{13}$$

4. RESULT 4.1. Description As an illustration, Table 1 lists ten samples data showing that a failed reception could occur even at a higher CNR or a lower BER rather than the successful one. For example, samples number 1 and 2 (n = 1, 2; CNR = 12 dB and BERA = 2.72×10^{-2}) were failed although their CNR were higher or their BERA were lower rather than samples number 5, 6, and 7 (n = 5, 6, 7; CNR = 11 dB < 12 dB and BERA > 2.72×10^{-2}). Similar facts were also indicated by the BERB between n = 1, 2 (BERB = 2.70×10^{-4}) versus n = 5 (BERB = 9×10^{-4} > 2.70×10^{-4}) or between n = 9 (BERB = 1.20×10^{-4}) versus n = 6, 7 (BERB = 1.60×10^{-4} > 1.20×10^{-4}). Table 1. An example of ten samples data Smpl (n) Reception 1: successful 0: failed CNR (dB) BERA ($\times 10^{-2}$) BERB ($\times 10^{-4}$) 1 0 12 2.72 2.70 2 0 12 2.72 2.70 3 1 16 3.21 2.50 4 1 16 3.21 2.50 5 1 11 3.46 9.00 Smpl (n) Reception 1: successful 0: failed CNR (dB) BERA ($\times 10^{-2}$) BERB ($\times 10^{-4}$) 6 1 11 2.91 1.60 7 1 11 2.91 1.60 8 1 7 2.83 1.20 9 0 7 2.83 1.20 10 10 3.23 2.20 4.2. Estimation of Ranges The complete field-observation obtained 540 samples at a receiver location and 2810 samples from two travelling route-segments in the SI and MO receptions, respectively. Table 2 lists a part of the MO reception data, sorted based upon the CNR. Table 3 shows the summary of the statistics of the complete result and Table 4 lists several graphs for the MO result where the range of BERB > 3.2×10^{-4} was punctured from the graphs. Furthermore, in the graphs that corresponded to the signed pdf and cumulative of the signed pdf, all of the highest magnitudes were scaled (normalized) to 1. Table 2. A part of the MO reception data, sorted based upon the CNR (j = 1) Number of Usable Samples (S(j)) Signed pdf k = CNR (dB) s(i, Successful

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------|----------------|--------|---|---------|-----------------|------|--------|----|----|
| (i = 1) j, k | Failed | (i = 0) Sum (V | (j, k) | f | (i, j), | Successful (i = | 1) k | Failed | (i | 10 |
|--------------|--------|----------------|--------|---|---------|-----------------|------|--------|----|----|

= 0) Net (F(j, k)) Cumulative (G(j, k)) 1 0 2 2 0 -0.0012 -0.0012 -0.0012 ... 13 7 110 117 0.0158
 -0.0649 -0.0491 -0.8018 14 48 150 198 0.1081 -0.0884 0.0197 -0.7822 15 90 100 190 0.2027 -0.059 0.1437 -0.6384
 ... 22 (= K1) 2 0 2 0.0045 0 0.0045 8E-17 444 (= U(1, 1)) 1696 (=U(0, 1)) 2140 (= S(1)) Table 3.

The complete result statistics summary MO, 2810 samples SI, 540 samples j k = 1, 2, 3, ..., kmax kmax Successful (i = 1) Number of Usable Samples Sum S(j) U(i, j) Failed (i = 0) kmax Successful (i = 1) Number of Usable Sa U(i, j) Failed (i = 0) mples Sum S(j) 1 k = CNR (dB) K1 = 22 444 1696 2140 K1 = 16 342 174 516 2 k = 1 + 103BERA K2 = 93 440 1051 1491 K2 = 171 342 37 379 3 k = 1 + 105BERB K3 = 4201 442 1055 1497 K3 = 41 342 27 369 In the MO reception, as an illustration, a sorting process based on the CNR produced 444 usable successful samples. This number was reduced to 442 and 440 when the sorting processes were referred to the BERA and BERB due to the elimination of two and four samples that had BER = 1 in those corresponding quantities, respectively. Such reductions also occurred for the failed samples from 1696 to 1051 and 1055, respectively. As shown in these tables, the ranges of usable samples were $1 \leq \text{CNR} \leq 22$, $0 \leq \text{BERA} \leq 9.2 \times 10^{-2}$, and $0 \leq \text{BERB} \leq 4.201 \times 10^{-2}$. Figure 3 presents several graphs that corresponded to the SI reception. The graphs of the number of the usable samples in Table 4 and Figure 3 show that the number of the usable samples was not uniformly distributed, but most of the them were spread at the middle-ranges of the CNR and BERA – approximating the bell-shaped normal probability-distribution – and were concentrated CNR and BER Ranges for the DVB-T2 Reception-Success (Budi Setiyanto) only at the edge-ranges of the BERB. Therefore, the LDPC-decoding could simply be viewed as a process of splitting the widely spread BERA samples into two concentrated groups, where the successful and failed samples were concentrated around a very low and very high BERB values, respectively. As a result, the boundary (B) of BERB distinguishing the successful receptions from the failed ones could be prominently determined. Graph #13 shows that it could be flexibly shifted from 10^{-5} to 2.7×10^{-4} , approximately. Table 4. Several graphs describing the statistical characteristics of the MO usable samples CNR Number of Usable Samples s(i, j,k), V(j, k) Graph #11 Signed pdf f(i, j, k), F(j, k) Graph #21 Cumulative of the Sgnd. pdf G(j, k) Graph #31 (a) Sorting Quantity BERA (Pre-LDPC-dec. BER) Graph #12 Graph #22 Graph #32 (b) BERB (Post-LDPC-dec. BER) Graph #13 Graph #23 Graph #33 (c) Figure 3. The number of usable samples (s(i, j, k), V(j, k)) obtained in the SI experiment, sorted by: (a) CNR, (b) BERA, and (c) BERB. Graph #21 shows that the boundary that corresponded to the CNR approximated 14 dB. However, a gradual (not an abrupt) change of the curve around this boundary indicates that it was not as prominent as the one estimated from the BERB. The boundary estimated based on the BERA was ambiguous or confusing due to the alternating ranges giving the successful and failed receptions, as shown in Graph #32. Deciding $B1 \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ as the upper bound of successful reception was not supported by enough number of samples, and therefore $B3 \approx 5.5 \times 10^{-2}$ might be more appropriate rather than it. Figure 4 represents several graphs comparing the SI and MO reception results, where BSI and BMO were the boundaries that corresponded to the SI and MO, respectively. Figure 4 (b) shows that the BERA- based BSI could be uniquely estimated, indeed, and its prominence was comparable to that of the CNR. IJECE ISSN: 2088-8708 ? 37

Alternating curve that corresponded to the MO BERA (Graph #32) may also indicate the severity of the burst error

due to the deep fading in mobile environment. BMO > BSI and

32

BMO < BSI respectively determined based on the CNR and BER (BERA and BERB) indicated that the quality of the received signal required by the MO reception was higher than that of by the SI one. It was reasonable by considering the consequence of not only the receiver mobility, but also the QAM-order. As explained before, the order of QAM used by the TV channels observed in the MO reception experiment (64-QAM) was higher than that of in the SI one (16-QAM). (a) (b) (c) Figure 4. The comparison of several statistics between the SI and MO experiment results: (a) CNR-sorted $F(j, k)$, (b) BERA-sorted $G(j, k)$, and (c) BERB-sorted $G(j, k)$. 5. CONCLUSION The reception-success of a DVB-T2 receiver is dependent upon the quality of the received signal. CNR and BER are two of quantities representing the quality. In a multipath-faded radio channel, the relationships of reception-success to CNR and BER are probabilistic. The result indicated that the successful and failed receptions were split into two quite separated (concentrated) ranges of the post-decoded BER; therefore, a boundary distinguishing them could be prominently defined. In contrast, they were spread in a wide common range of the CNR and pre-decoded BER. Furthermore, the boundary that corresponded to this last quantity was ambiguous. In the case of MO reception, as the numerical examples, those two split ranges of the post-decoded BER were less than about 10^{-5} and more than about 2.7×10^{-4} for the successful and failed receptions, respectively, whereas CNR as high as about 14 dB could be viewed as a soft boundary distinguishing these both reception-success conditions.

REFERENCES [1] I. Eizmendi, M. Velez, D. Gómez -Barquero, J. Morgade, V. Baena-Lecuyer, M. Slimani, and J. Zoellne, 'DVB-T2: The Second Generation of Terrestrial Digital Video Broadcasting System', IEEE Trans. Broadcast., vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 258–271,

1

2014. [2] Y. J. Woo, K. W. Park, W. G. Jeon, J. H. Paik, G. M. Kang, and K. W. Kwon, 'Design and Realization of Multiplexing System for Fixed/Mobile Next-Generation Broadcasting Service in Network Free Environment', Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng., vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 531–538, 2015. [3]

M. Slimani, J. Robert, P. Schlegel, U. H. Reimers, R. Burow, F. Kattaneck, R. Pfeffer, and L. Stadelmeier, 'Results of the DVB-T2 Field Trial in Germany', IEEE Trans. Broadcast., vol. 61, no. June, pp. 177–194,

4

2015. [4] M. J., A. P., A. A., O. J.L., B. R., F. J., K. C., and P.

R., 'DVB-T2 MISO/SISO Receiver Performance Limits in Single Frequency Networks: Practical Results', in IEEE International Symposium on Broadband Multimedia Systems and Broadcasting (BMSB),

6

2013. [5]

C. Li, S. Telemi, X. Zhang, R. Brugger, I. Angulo, and P. Angueira,

22

'Planning Large Single Frequency Networks for DVB-T2',

31

IEEE Trans. Broadcast., 2015. [6]

M. M. Anis, X. Lagrangey, and R. Pyndiah, 'Analyzing the Coverage of the Single Frequency Broadcast Network for Handheld Receivers', in The 10th Annual IEEE

8

CCNC- Work-in-Progress, 2013, pp. 689–692. [7]

K. Salehian, M. Guillet, B. Caron, and A. Kennedy, 'On-Channel Repeater for Digital Television Broadcasting Service', IEEE Trans. Broadcast., vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 97–102, 2002.

5

[8] A. S. Engineering,

'The feasibility of DVB-T on-channel repeaters for coverage repair on Channel 60',

20

2009. [9] C. Akamine, F. Y. Member, G. B. Jr., C. E. da S. Dantas, F. Sukys, R. E. Motoyama, R. de M. Maroja, G. de M. Valeira, and E. Lemos, 'FIELD TRIAL FOR BRAZILIAN DTV USING SPACE DIVERSITY',

in IEEE International Symposium on Broadband Multimedia Systems and Broadcasting (BMSB),

2010, pp. 1– 11. [10]

17

J. López-Sánchez, D. Gómez-Barquero, D. Gozávez, and N. Cardona, 'On the Provisioning of Mobile Digital Terrestrial TV Services to Vehicles With DVB-T', *IEEE Trans. Broadcast.*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 642–647,

2

2012. [11] Theodore S.

Rappaport, *Wireless Communications: Principles and Practices*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall,

29

Inc., 1993. [12] S. Haykin and M. Moher, *Modern Wireless Communication*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc., 2005. [13]

N. Madhu, 'Note on Measures for Spectral Flatness', *IET Journals Mag.*, vol. 45, no. 23, pp. 1195 – 1196,

19

2009. [14] B. Setiyanto, R. Hidayat, I. W. Mustika, and Sunarno, 'Identifikasi Pengaruh Lintasan-Jamak pada DVB-T2 Berdasar Uji Penerimaan Siaran', *JNTETI*, vol. 5, no. No. 2 Mei, pp. 83–92, 2016. CNR and BER Ranges for the DVB-T2 Reception-Success (Budi Setiyanto) [15] R. Instrument, 'Understanding Digital TV'. [Online]. Available: http://www.kte.hu/upload/publikaciok/understanding_digital_tv.pdf. [Accessed: 22-Jan-2015]. [16] E. Haryatmi, T. M. Kusuma, B. Soerowirdjo, and P. Musa, 'The Design and Performance Analysis of DTMB System', *TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication Comput. Electron. Control.*, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 873, Sep. 2016. [17] 'GEORGIA SET TOP BOX SPECIFICATION', 2014. [Online]. Available: http://www.economy.ge/uploads/proeqtebi/digital_television/Appendix_1._Georgia_basic_receiver_specification.pdf. [Accessed: 21-Oct-2014]. [18]

'NorDig Unified Test Plan for Integrated Receiver Decoders for use in cable, satellite, terrestrial and IP-based networks, ver. 2.4.'

11

2013. . [19] H. Zhang, H. Li, X.

Dongya, and C. Chao, 'Performance Analysis of Different Modulation Techniques for Free- Space Optical Communication System', TELKOMNIKA (Telecommunication, Comput. Electron. Control., vol. 13, no. 3,

12

pp. 880–888, 2015. [20] F. Khair, F. H. P, I. W. Mustika, and B. Setiyanto,

'Performance Analysis of Digital Modulation for Coherent Detection of OFDM Scheme on Radio over Fiber System',

16

Int. J. Electr. Comput. Eng., vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1086– 1095, 2016. [21] B. Setiyanto, R. Hidayat, I. W. Mustika, and Sunarno, 'Spatial-Variation of DVB-T2 Signal at the Receiver- Location', in The 6th International Annual Engineering Seminar (InAES2016), 2016, pp. 221–226. [22] B. Setiyanto, R. Hidayat, I. W. Mustika, and Sunarno, 'Probabilistic- Relationships in the DVB-T2 Mobile- Reception Signal Received from a Fix-Reception Mode Broadcasting Service', in The 6th International Annual Engineering Seminar (InAES2016), 2016, pp. 177–182. [23]

H. Schulze and C. Luders, Theory and Applications of OFDM and CDMA Wideband Wireless Communications. Chicghester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 2005.

14

[24] ETSI,

'Digital Video Broadcasting Measurement Guidelines for DVB Systems, TR 101 290 - V1.2.1', 2001.

18

32 ? ISSN: 2088-8708 34 ? ISSN: 2088-8708 36 ? ISSN: 2088-8708 38 ? ISSN: 2088-8708 IJECE

Vol. x, No. x, September 201x

7

: xx – xx IJECE

Vol. x, No. x, September 201x

7

: xx – xx IJECE

Vol. x, No. x, September 201x

7

: xx – xx IJECE

Vol. x, No. x, September 201x

7

: xx – xx

sources:

1

36 words / 1% - Crossref

[Awada, Ahmad, and Lauri Kuru. "On the Approximation of Coverage Probability in Broadcast Single-Frequency Networks", 2015 IEEE 81st Vehicular Technology Conference \(VTC Spring\), 2015.](#)

2

35 words / 1% - Crossref

[Liang Zhang, , Zhihong Hong, Louis Thibault, Richard Boudreau, and Yiyan Wu. "A Low-Complexity Robust OFDM Receiver for Fast Fading Channels", IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 2014.](#)

3

33 words / 1% - Internet from 27-Oct-2011 12:00AM

cs.nyu.edu

4

33 words / 1% - Crossref

[Eizmendi, Inaki, Cristina Regueiro, Iker Sobron, Igor Fernandez, and Manuel Velez. "Field trial results of DVB-T2 mobile reception", 2016 IEEE International Symposium on Broadband Multimedia Systems and Broadcasting \(BMSB\), 2016.](#)

5

27 words / 1% - Internet from 22-Nov-2013 12:00AM

arxiv.org

6

25 words / 1% - Internet from 24-Oct-2015 12:00AM
www.radioeng.cz

7

24 words / 1% - Crossref
[Hu, Mengalan, and Bharadwaj Veeravalli. "Requirement-Aware Scheduling of Bag-of-Tasks Applications on Grids with Dynamic Resilience", IEEE Transactions on Computers, 2012.](#)

8

22 words / < 1% match - Internet from 08-May-2015 12:00AM
departements.telecom-bretagne.eu

9

22 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Fakhriy Hario P, Adhi Susanto, I Wayan Mustika, Sevia M Idrus, Sholeh Hadi P. "Dithering Analysis in an Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing-Radio over Fiber Link", International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\), 2016](#)

10

20 words / < 1% match - Internet
www.ccs.lanl.gov

11

20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-Sep-2014 12:00AM
www.nordig.org

12

20 words / < 1% match - Internet from 04-Mar-2016 12:00AM
journal.uad.ac.id

13

19 words / < 1% match - Internet from 15-Jan-2016 12:00AM
sdu-elibrary.info

14

19 words / < 1% match - Internet from 22-Apr-2010 12:00AM
www.ittc.ku.edu

15

17 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Eizmendi, Inaki, Manuel Velez, David Gomez-Barquero, Javier Morgade, Vicente Baena-Lecuyer, Mariem Slimani, and Jan Zoellner. "DVB-T2: The Second Generation of Terrestrial Digital Video Broadcasting System", IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 2014.](#)

16

16 words / < 1% match - Internet from 12-May-2016 12:00AM
iaesjournal.com

17

15 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-Aug-2012 12:00AM
www.ijstr.org

18 15 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Rosati, S., E.A. Candreva, Y. Nasser, S.R. Yun, G.E. Corazza, R. Mounzer, J.F. Helard, and A. Mourad. "PAPR reduction techniques for the next generation of mobile broadcasting", 2012 19th International Conference on Telecommunications \(ICT\), 2012.](#)

19

15 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Rohlfing, Christian, and Julian M. Becker. "Extended semantic initialization for NMF-based audio source separation", 2015 International Symposium on Intelligent Signal Processing and Communication Systems \(ISPACS\), 2015.](#)

20 14 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-May-2010 12:00AM
www.ofcom.org.uk

21 13 words / < 1% match - Internet from 19-Aug-2016 12:00AM
litis.univ-lehavre.fr

22 13 words / < 1% match - Crossref
["Table of contents", IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 2015.](#)

23 11 words / < 1% match - Internet from 30-Jan-2016 12:00AM
www.dm.ufscar.br

24 10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Fauza Khair, Fakhriy Hario P, I Wayan Mustika, Budi Setiyanto. "Performance Analysis of Digital Modulation for Coherent Detection of OFDM Scheme on Radio over Fiber System", International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering \(IJECE\), 2016](#)

25 10 words / < 1% match - Crossref
[Firmansyah, Eka, P. Rendy, A. M. Imaduddin, and N. Yuliyanto. "Low cost platform for BLDC controller", 2013 Joint International Conference on Rural Information & Communication Technology and Electric-Vehicle Technology \(rICT & ICeV-T\), 2013.](#)

26 9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 27-Mar-2016 12:00AM
is-innovation.eu

27 9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 11-May-2016 12:00AM
iaesjournal.com

28 9 words / < 1% match - Internet from 01-Sep-2016 12:00AM
www.matec-conferences.org

29 8 words / < 1% match - Internet from 17-Feb-2010 12:00AM
www.prism.uvsq.fr

30

8 words / < 1% match - Internet from 07-May-2016 12:00AM

www.skmm.gov.my

31

8 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Li, Caiwei, Sato Telemi, Xiaolin Zhang, Roland Brugger, Itziar Angulo, and Pablo Angueira. "Planning Large Single Frequency Networks for DVB-T2", IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting, 2015.](#)

32

8 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Zoellner, J., J. Robert, L. Stadelmeier, and N. Loghin. "Optimization of the physical layer signalling overhead of DVB-T2 and DVB-NGH", IEEE international Symposium on Broadband Multimedia Systems and Broadcasting, 2012.](#)

33

7 words / < 1% match - Publications

[Nandar, Cuk Supriyadi Ali. "Power Oscillation Damping Control using Robust Coordinated Smart Devices", Telkomnika, 2011.](#)

34

6 words / < 1% match - Crossref

[Frith, W. J., P. J. Outram, and T. Shanks. "High-order 2MASS galaxy correlation functions: probing the Gaussianity of the primordial density field", Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2006.](#)
